UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

CSX CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE CHILDREN'S INVESTMENT FUND MANAGEMENT (UK) LLP, THE CHILDREN'S INVESTMENT FUND MANAGEMENT (CAYMAN) LTD., THE CHILDREN'S INVESTMENT MASTER FUND, 3G CAPITAL PARTNERS LTD., 3G CAPITAL PARTNERS, L.P., 3G FUND, L.P., CHRISTOPHER HOHN, SNEHAL AMIN AND ALEXANDRE BEHRING, A/K/A ALEXANDRE BEHRING COSTA,

Defendants.

THE CHILDREN'S INVESTMENT MASTER FUND,

Counterclaim and Third-Party Plaintiff,

v.

CSX CORPORATION AND MICHAEL WARD,

Counterclaim and Third-Party Defendants.

3G CAPITAL PARTNERS LTD., 3G CAPITAL PARTNERS, L.P. AND 3G FUND, L.P.,

Counterclaim Plaintiffs.

v.

CSX CORPORATION AND MICHAEL WARD,

Counterclaim Defendants.

ECF Case

08 Civ. 02764 (LAK) (KNF)

DECLARATION OF HOWARD O. GODNICK **HOWARD O. GODNICK**, an attorney duly admitted to practice before this

Court, hereby affirms the following to be true under penalties of perjury:

1. I am a member of the Bar of the State of New York and a partner with the

firm of Schulte Roth & Zabel LLP, counsel to The Children's Investment Fund Management

(UK) LLP, The Children's Investment Fund Management (Cayman) Ltd., The Children's

Investment Master Fund (together, "TCI"), Christopher Hohn, and Snehal Amin. I submit this

declaration in opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to file CSX's Response to the Letter of

Prof. Bernard Black Submitted to the SEC.

2. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of a letter dated

June 2, 2008 from Howard O. Godnick to the Honorable Lewis A. Kaplan opposing CSX

Corporation's Motion for Leave to file CSX's Response to the Letter of Prof. Bernard Black

Submitted to the SEC.

____/s/ Howard O. Godnick_____

Howard O. Godnick

Dated: New York, New York

June 2, 2008

-2-

Schulte Roth&Zabel LLP

919 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022 212.756.2000 212.593.5955 fax

www.srz.com

Howard O. Godnick 212.756.2220 Writer's E-mail Address howard.godnick@srz.com

June 2, 2008

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING and HAND DELIVERY

The Honorable Lewis A. Kaplan United States District Court Judge Daniel Patrick Moynihan U.S. Courthouse 500 Pearl Street New York, New York 10007

> Re: CSX Corporation v. The Children's Investment Fund Management (UK) LLP, et al., 08 CV 02764 (LAK)(KNF)

Dear Judge Kaplan:

We represent defendants The Children's Investment Fund Management (UK) LLP, The Children's Investment Fund Management (Cayman) Ltd., The Children's Investment Master Fund, Christopher Hohn and Snehal Amin (together "TCI") in the above-referenced action. We write on behalf of all Defendants in this matter to oppose CSX Corporation's Motion for Leave to file CSX's Response to the Letter of Prof. Bernard Black Submitted to the SEC, which was filed earlier today.

The Court heard testimony in this matter on May 21 and 22. At the close of the hearing, the Court instructed the parties to submit post-trial briefing as follows:

- initial briefing no later than 5 p.m. on May 27;
- reply briefs no later than 4 p.m. on May 29 (the Court subsequently extended this time to 6 p.m.).

Together, Plaintiff and Defendants submitted approximately 500 pages of post-trial argument.

On May 22, 2008, Your Honor asked the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") to provide by June 4 its views on two questions and "requested the parties to contact [the SEC] to provide any information [the SEC] may require." Among other things, the Defendants provided a May 29, 2008, letter to the SEC from Prof. Bernard S. Black. On May 30, 2008, we provided a courtesy copy of that letter to Your Honor. We did not provide the Court with counsel's legal argument to the SEC because we believed any such supplementation of the legal briefing in this matter to be outside the Court's specific directions on post-trial briefing.

The Honorable Lewis A. Kaplan May 20, 2008 Page 2

Today, CSX Corporation ("CSX") has sought leave of Court to file certain submissions it made to the SEC. Among those submissions is a 27 page, single-spaced letter-brief, dated today, June 2, in which counsel for CSX, Mr. Millson, marshals CSX's view of the facts adduced at trial, and makes legal argument based on counsel's characterization of those purported facts. Given the ample opportunity that the Court provided for the parties to file post-trial briefs, and the specific deadlines by which the Court required those filings, we respectfully submit that Mr. Millson's June 2 sur-reply letter-brief, although addressed to the SEC, constitutes the filing of an unauthorized post-trial sur-reply brief in this matter.

We have no objection to CSX filing the letter of Profs. Grundfest, Hu and Subrahmanyam. However, we respectfully request that the Court deny CSX's motion to the extent it seeks to file Mr. Millson's sur-reply letter-brief. Alternatively, if the Court grants leave for the filing of Mr. Millson's sur-reply letter-brief, we respectfully request leave of Court to file a sur-reply no later than 5 p.m. on June 4.

Respectfully submitted,

Howard O. Godnick

cc: Rory Millson, Esq. Peter Doyle, Esq.